#### American Chestnut (*Castanea dentata*) Restoration and Reintroduction Plantings in the Southern Appalachians

#### Stacy Clark<sup>1</sup> and Scott E. Schlarbaum<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Forester, USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Knoxville, TN, USA <sup>2</sup>Professor, The University of Tennessee, Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries





#### **Demise of the American chestnut**

Asian ambrosia beetle (Order: Coleoptera: Family Scolytidae ), ca. 1974



Asian gall wasp (*Dryocosmus kuriphilus*), ca. 1974



Asiatic oak weevil (*Cyrtepistomus castaneus*), ca. 1935



Talladega National Forest, Alabama

Chestnut blight (Cryphonectria parasitica), ca. 1904

Root rot (Phytophthora cinnamomi), ca. 1820



European gypsy moth (*Lymantria dispar*), ca. 1869





## Chestnut Demise and Restoration

Ashe (1911) reports "<u>chestnut is one of the</u> <u>most promising trees for</u> <u>forest management</u>"



**Partnerships for Restoration:** The USDA Forest Service, The American **Chestnut Foundation, and The University** of Tennessee



Meadowview Orchard, VA

National Forest System, Southern Region



#### **Approaches to Resistance**

#### Traditional breeding

- Confer Asian resistant genes into American chestnut genome through backcrossing
  - The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station
  - The American Chestnut Foundation
- Breed low levels of resistance among pure American
  - American Chestnut Cooperators Foundation
- Hypovirulence
  - Virus-like blight strains
- Biotechnology
  - Transgenic (genetically modified) trees using oxalate oxidase gene from wheat (State University of New York and TACF)



#### The First Test Plantings by the USDA Forest Service

 Goal of test plantings • To test American chestnuts that have been traditionally bred for blight-resistance for the ability to survive, compete, and remain blight-resistant in forest environments within the species native range



#### What are we testing?



Y = Genetics + Seedling Size + Planting Location + Random effects + Error

### Seedling Production for Test Plantings

- Grown to maximize size in a commercial tree nursery for one year
- 3 to 4.3 ft average height
  - range 0.3 to 8.5 ft
- Problems with root rot (Phytophthora) in some southern nurseries



#### 1. Measure seedlings and tag





5. Measure seedlings

4. Plant using design

3. Sort study into design

# Video

### **Experimental Material**

- 15 plantings on National Forests in TN, NC, and VA (Blue Ridge Mountains)
- 2009 Plantings (4):
  331 BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, 1155 total
  2010 Plantings (3):
  360 BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, 1092 total
  2011 Plantings (6):
  1090 BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, 1689 total
  2015 Plantings (2):
  - 384 BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, 557 total



## **Study Sites**

- 9 in even-aged regeneration harvests
  - Commercial timber harvests resulting in two-age stand
  - $\circ$  30 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre of basal area
- 3 in midstory removal shelterwood stands
  - Midstory (trees 1-6 inches in diameter killed with herbicide injection)
- 2 in orchard plantings
  - Beech Creek seed orchard, Nantahala National Forest
- 1 in pitch pine restoration area
  - Non commercial felling and prescribed burn



Two-age stand after harvest

## **Study Sites**

- Deer protection provided if needed (shelters or spray)
- Herbicide treatment to control competition in two-age stands
  - Applied at planting to stump sprouts
  - Applied 5 years postplanting around individual planted trees



Erecting deer shelters in two-age stand



#### Year 3



#### Year 10





#### Year 10 survival (2009 Plantings)



#### Year 10 Height (2009 Plantings)



#### Year 8 Height (2010 Planting)



#### Height growth (2010 Planting)



#### Year 8 Blight Resistance (2009 Plantings)



Clark, S.L., and others. 2019. Forest Ecology and Management 433:153-161

#### Ten-year Blight Resistance (2009 Plantings)

- 51% of American chestnut dead from blight
  - 13% had killing cankers
  - 23% were blight free
- 21% of  $BC_3F_3$  dead from blight
  - 11% had killing cankers
  - 56% were blight free
  - 10% of Chinese dead from blight
    - 3% had killing cankers
    - 80% were blight free



Cankers on 11year-old BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> at NC site

### **Other Non-native Pests**

 Asiatic oak weevil (Cyrtepistomus castaneus) Identified at all plantings Asian chestnut gall wasp (Dryocosmus kuriphilus) Identified at all plantings Asian Ambrosia beetle Identified only on weakened trees



Asiatic oak weevil



Asian gall wasp

#### **Biological Challenges: Phytophthora root rot?**

- Caused severe to moderate die-off in some 2009, 2010 and 2011 plantings
- Use containerized seedlings?
  - RPM<sup>®</sup> (Root Production Method)
- Grow in northern nurseries?
  - Seedling quality?
- Plant on extremely welldrained sites



RPM seedling on left; bare-root seedling on right

## **Biological Challenges: Deer**

## Fence Use deer repellants or shelters <u>Plant large</u> seedlings



Deer browse on a planted American chestnut seedling

### **Summary of Results**

- BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> was slightly shorter in height than American chestnut after 10 years
  - But considering initial height, was slightly more efficient in its growth rates
- BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> had resistance more similar to Chinese chestnut than American chestnut
  - But not as good as Chinese chestnut
- Interaction between resistance and environment?
- Larger seedlings at planting remained larger and had less deer browse
- *Phytophthora* root rot and deer browse were major deterrents to success



#### **Challenges to restoration**

- Resistance is currently at intermediate level (Steiner et al. 2016)
- National Forest System should remain in testing phase until resistance is more durable
  - Can't meet reforestation targets when long-term survival is unknown



12-year-old planted American chestnut with a virulent blight canker

#### It will take more than a blightresistant tree

Perceived challenges from National Forest System managers in the Eastern and Southern Regions:

- 1. Blight resistance levels,
- 2. Phytophthora root rot,
- 3. Lack of silvicultural knowledge,
- 4. Animal damage, and
- 5. Coordinating harvests with availability of planting material.



Dead chestnuts, Haywood County, NC (1936)

Clark, S.L and others. 2020. e-Gen. Tech. Rep P-193, NRS, Newtown Square, PA: USDA For. Serv. North. Res. Sta.

#### It will take more than a blightresistant tree

Other concerns from managers were:

- 1. Public opposition if planting coincides with increased timber harvests,
- 2. Public opposition to genetically modified (GM) chestnuts, and
- 3. Adequate funding for planting establishment, maintenance, and monitoring

Strong partnerships with non-governmental organizations or volunteer groups could provide assistance.

Clark, S.L., and others. 2020. e-Gen. Tech. Rep P-193, NRS, Newtown Square, PA: USDA For. Serv. North. Res. Sta.

#### We must move forward because chestnut was a <u>keystone</u> species



- Chestnut had perhaps irreplaceable values for forest ecosystems
- Chestnut had cultural significance
- Chestnut is a very charismatic species

#### For More Information

https://www.fs.fed.us/research/people/stacyclark or internet search for 'Stacy Clark Forest Service Southern Research Station'



- The University of Tennessee Tree Improvement Program: Scott Schlarbaum (director); Others: Luke Allen, Tracy Binder, Becky Blue, Ashley Case, Catie Crocket, Stephen Grayson, David Griffin, Luke Hadden, Brian Hughett, John Johnson, Andrew Lambert, Allison Mains, Leah Messer, Tyler Nutter, Ben Reichert, Shelby Sanders, Ami Sharp, Alison Shimer, Jesse Troxler, Tan Watcharaanantapong
- The University of Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station
- Forest Service, Region 8: John Blanton (retired), David Casey, Barbara Crane, Sandy Henning, Robert Lewis, Russ MacFarlane and staff, Jeff Matthews, Robert Makowski, Mark Miller, Jason Rodrigue and staff, Jim Steace and staff, the late Don Tomcz, k, Koger Williams (retired), Tyler Williams 1; FH-2; Weithetter on (retired), all Jones SRS: Bud Mayfield; RS: Lea 20, 104
- The American Chestnut Foundation (TACF) Grants Program
- TACF: Jeff Donahue, Fred Hebard, Paul Sisco
- Tennessee Division of Forestry
- Georgia Forestry Commission
- Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station: Sandy Anagnostakis
- Clemson University: Steve Jeffers, Inga Meadows, Suzie Sharpe
- Mississippi State University: Richard Baird
- North Carolina State University and Highlands Institute (Jake Ward and Mariah Goodman)
- American Chestnut Cooperators Foundation: Gary Griffin
- Salem College: Caitlin Caudle

#### Upcoming On-line Chestnut Training

#### \mu https://usda.courseavenue.com/Player-html5R/launchPlayer.html?skin=1177&&orgID=1011&userID=1746&launchMethod=PREVIEW&courseID=4774&courseCode=CHN-101&orgID=1011&teamID=1278&userID=1746&useDefaultS



Historical Value



Next

#### **Historical value**

#### American Chestnut Was Valued as a Forest Product

- Tannin for leather production
- Chestnut constituted 2/3 of tannic acid production in the US in 1915 for the production of leather products
- Medicinal properties:
- . Native Americans used chestnut to treat whooping cough, heart problems, and skin ailments (Moerman 1986)
- · Charcoal used to make iron in charcoal iron furnaces
- · Staves for cooperage used to make whiskey
- Kindling:
- · good to ignite fires, but too highly combustible as logs in chimney fires



American chestnut staves for cooperage (1902) page 37 of 59

#### Upcoming On-line Chestnut Video



Search



2.9.mDV.Chestnut\_CherokeePlanting.xx10

8 views • Mar 9, 2018 📫 0 👎 0 📌 SHARE =+ SAVE •••

#### Upcoming On-line Chestnut Research Briefs



**United States Department of Agriculture** 

**Southern Research Station** 



## Questions?